

# ADDENDUM NO. 1

## RFP-27-18-CONTSERV-SUBRECIPIENT

### Bexar Area Agency on Aging (AAA) Contracted Services

**Issued By:** Alamo Area Council of Governments (AACOG)

**Issue Date:** April 29, 2026

### PURPOSE

This Addendum is issued for the purpose of addressing questions and providing official responses. All prospective respondents are responsible for reviewing this Addendum in its entirety. Failure to acknowledge or reference any item contained herein shall not relieve any respondent from complying with the requirements of the solicitation, provided such information is stated at least once within this Addendum.

This Addendum shall become an integral part of the solicitation and any resulting contract. All other terms, conditions, and requirements of the solicitation remain unchanged and remain in full force and effect.

---

### QUESTIONS & OFFICIAL RESPONSES

#### Question 1:

**How firm are the funding amounts listed on page 10 of this RFP?**

#### Response:

Funding amounts listed are based on current projected allocations and are subject to change based on final funding determinations from the Texas Health and Human Services Commission (HHSC).

---

#### Question 2:

**Will NSIP funding be allocated in addition to Title III-C2 funding, or is it included within the listed amount?**



## **Response:**

NSIP funding is separate from Title III-C2 funding and may be allocated in addition to Title III-C2 funds. NSIP funding is not included within the listed Title III-C2 allocation amounts.

---

## **Question 3:**

**Are there any legal or regulatory changes compared to prior RFPs?**

## **Response:**

No significant legal or regulatory changes have been made beyond current applicable federal, state, and local requirements.

---

## **Question 4:**

**Several references in the RFP refer to Section 4.7; however, no Section 4.7 appears in the document. Is this an error?**

## **Response:**

Yes. References to Section 4.7 are drafting errors. The correct reference is **Section 4.2 – Evaluation Criteria**.

This correction applies to:

- Section 4.1.7
  - Section 5.8
  - Section 5.10
  - Any other reference to Section 4.7 within the RFP
- 

## **Question 5:**

**Should proposal narratives align directly with Section 4.2 Evaluation Criteria?**

## **Response:**

Yes. Respondents shall structure and organize proposal narratives directly in accordance with Section 4.2 Evaluation Criteria.

Recommended structure:

- 4.2.1 Organizational Capability
  - 4.2.2 Program Design / Service Delivery Plan
  - 4.2.3 Cost Proposal
  - 4.2.4 Required Forms / Compliance Documentation
- 

**Question 6:**

**How should proposal documents be numbered and organized when conflicting numbering instructions appear?**

**Response:**

Respondents should follow the numbering and structure outlined under Section 4.2 Evaluation Criteria. AACOG will use this structure for evaluation purposes.

---

**Question 7:**

**Section 6.2 references the Service Delivery Plan “as outlined in Section 6.4.” Is this correct?**

**Response:**

No. This is a drafting inconsistency. The Service Delivery Plan requirement pertains to program narrative and operational documentation, not insurance requirements. Respondents should provide Service Delivery Plan information as required under Program Design / Service Delivery sections.

**All other conditions of this RFQ remain unchanged.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**END OF ADDENDUM**

\* \* \* \* \*

